Evaluate each section of the Request for Funding on a scale of 1-10. **1=Strongly Disagree through 10=Strongly Agree** Maximum score per request is **100 points**.

For those not evaluating a proposal due to a **conflict of interest,** put "COI" in the score box.

Overall proposal evaluation scores will be averaged using the number of members evaluating that individual proposal.													
		Design and So	cope of Work		Planning		Other Funds	Bud	get	Sustainability and Maintenance	Outcomes		
Project ID	Project Title	The strategies are clear, effective, and directly address the problem(s) outlined.	This is an urgent problem needing immediate attention.	If funded, the request will effectively address pertinent MN conservations plans.	The request is fully grounded in conservation science.	The request effectively addresses relevant LSOHC section priorities	The request maximizes leverage with other funding sources.	The budget supplements the organization's traditional funding sources and does not substitute.	The level of funding is reasonable for each component of the budget.	The request has a well-designed plan for sustainability and maintenance.	The stated outcomes provide meaningful evaluative data.	Total	Member Comments
PA 01	Grasslands for the Future	6	8	5	5	7	7	9	6	7	7	67	
PA 02	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Acquisition, Ph. V	8	8	8	8	8	5	8	6	6	8	73	
PA 03	DNR Wildlife Management Area, Scientific and Natural Area, and Native Prairie Bank Easement Program, Ph. V	5	8	8	6	8	5	3	5	6	8	62	
PA 04	Minnesota Prairie Recovery Project, Ph. IV	8	8	8	7	8	6	8	7	8	8	76	
PA 05	Minnesota Buffers for Wildlife and Water, Ph. III	3	5	5	3	5	5	7	5	5	4	47	
PA 06	Green Corridors, Ph. V	7	6	6	6	6	5	5	6	6	7	60	
PA 07	Cannon River Headwaters Habitat Complex, Ph. III	8	8	8	8	8	5	8	8	6	8	75	
PRE 01	DNR Grasslands, Ph. V	8	8	8	9	8	5	3	4	8	8	69	
FA 01	Minnesota Forest for the Future/State Forests, Ph. IV	6	6	6	5	5	5	3	5	5	5	51	
FA 02	Young Forest Conservation	5	6	6	5	5	5	7	6	5	6	56	Questions?
FA 03	The Camp Ripley Partnership: Protecting the Mighty Mississippi, Ph. III	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	50	
FA 04	Preventing Forest Fragmentation and Protecting and Resoring Lake and Stream Habitat in the St. Louis River Watershed	7	6	7	7	6	5	8	8	6	5	65	
FA 05	Northeastern Minnesota Sharp-tailed Grouse Habitat Partnership, Ph. IV	7	7	7	7	7	5	6	6	6	5	63	
FA 06	Protect (Acquire) Key Forest Habitat Lands in Cass County, Ph. IV	7	6	6	5	5	5	7	7	6	5	59	
FRE 01	DNR Accelerated Forest Habitat Restoration and Enhancement Program, Ph. III	3	3	3	5	5	5	3	5	6	5	43	
FRE 02	Minnesota Moose Habitat Collaborative, Ph. II	6	8	7	6	6	5	7	6	5	5	61	
FRE 03	Defending the St. Croix Riverway from the Bittersweet Onslaught	5	5	4	3	3	5	7	6	4	4	46	
WA 01	The RIM-WRP Partnership, Ph. V	7	9	8	6	6	8	6	7	6	6	69	
WA 02	Accelerating the Wildlife Production Area Acquisition, Ph. V	9	9	8	8	7	7	7	7	8	8	78	
WA 03	Shallow Lake and Wetland Protection Program, Ph. III	8	8	7	7	7	7	6	6	6	6	68	
WA 04	Wild Rice Shoreland Protection Program, Ph. II	7	6	6	6	6	6	7	6	6	6	62	

		Design and So	cope of Work			Other Funds	s Budget		Sustainability and Maintenance	Outcomes			
Project ID	Project Title	The strategies are clear, effective, and directly address the problem(s) outlined.	This is an urgent problem needing immediate attention.	If funded, the request will effectively address pertinent MN conservations plans.	The request is fully grounded in conservation science.	The request effectively addresses relevant LSOHC section priorities	The request maximizes leverage with other funding sources.	The budget supplements the organization's traditional funding sources and does not substitute.	The level of funding is reasonable for each component of the budget.	The request has a well-designed plan for sustainability and maintenance.	The stated outcomes provide meaningful evaluative data.	Total	Member Comments
WA 05	McGregor Marsh Wetland Protection	8	7	7	7	7	6	4	6	6	6	64	
WA 05	Wetland Habitat Protection Program	8	7	7	7	7	6	6	6	6	6	66	
WRE 01	Acceleratated Wetland and Shallow Lake Enhancement, Ph. V	7	7	7	6	6	6	3	4	5	5	56	
WRE 02	Pelican Lake Enhancement	8	8	8	6	8	7	4	4	6	6	65	,
WRE 03	Albert Lea Lake Management and Invasive Species Control Structure	6	5	6	6	6	5	7	7	7	5	60	
WRE 04	Goose Lake Restoration and Carp Exclusion Project	6	5	6	6	6	5	7	7	7	5	60	,
HA 01	DNR Aquatic Habitat, Ph. V	7	7	7	6	7	7	4	5	6	6	62	
HA 02	Habitat Protection in Dakota County, Ph. IV	5	5	5	5	5	6	7	6	6	5	55	
HA 03	Root River Protection and Restoration	8	8	8	8	8	6	8	8	6	6	74	
HA 04	Metro Big Rivers, Ph. IV	Conflict	Conflict	Conflict	Conflict	Conflict	Conflict	Conflict	Conflict	Conflict	Conflict	Conflict	
HA 05	Minnesota Landscape Arboretum: Acquisition on Property Surrounding Lake Tamarack	3	3	3	6	5	7	6	6	7	6	52	
HA 06	Critical Shroeland Habitat Protection Program, Ph. II	7	7	7	6	7	6	7	6	6	6	65	
HRE 01	Wildlife Habitat Restoration and Enhancement in Metropolitan Regional Parks System	3	3	3	5	4	5	5	5	4	4	41	
HRE 02	Duluth 2012 Flood: Stream Habitat Restoration Program	2	8	2	2	6	5	5	3	3	6	42	
HRE 03	Lower Mississippi River Habitat Partnership, Ph. III	7	7	7	6	7	5	7	6	6	6	64	
HRE 04	Lake Zumbro Habitat Restoration Project	1	1	1	1	1	5	5	5	2	2	24	
HRE 05	Minnesota Trout Unlimited Coldwater Fish Habitat Enhancement and Restoration, Ph. IV	8	8	8	8	8	7	7	8	8	8	78	
HRE 06	Developing a National Destination for Trout Angling Cold Water Enthusiasts	5	5	6	6	6	5	7	7	5	5	57	?
	TOTAL											2285	

		Recommend
		for Hearing (Y
		or N)
X 01	Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program, Ph. V	Υ
X 02	Contract Management	Υ
X 03	Restoration Evaluations	Υ
X 04	High-Priority Tansaction Service Acceleration for LSOHC	Υ

Member Name Scott Rall

Evaluate each section of the Request for Funding on a scale of 1-10. **1=Strongly Disagree through 10=Strongly Agree** Maximum score per request is **100 points.**

For those not evaluating a proposal due to a **conflict of interest,** put "COI" in the score box.

	Overall proposal evaluation scores will be averaged using th	Design and Scope of Work Planning			Other Funds	ds Budget Sustaina and Mainten			Outcomes				
Project ID	Project Title	The strategies are clear, effective, and directly address the problem(s) outlined.	This is an urgent problem needing immediate attention.	If funded, the request will effectively address pertinent MN conservations plans.	The request is fully grounded in conservation science.	The request effectively addresses relevant LSOHC section priorities	The request maximizes leverage with other funding sources.	The budget supplements the organization's traditional funding sources and does not substitute.	The level of funding is reasonable for each component of the budget.	The request has a well-designed plan for sustainability and maintenance.	The stated outcomes provide meaningful evaluative data.	Total	Member Comments
PA 01	Grasslands for the Future	5	10	7	3	8	-	7	4	7	3	54	
PA 02	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Acquisition, Ph. V	8	8	9	9	8	10	9	7	8	8	84	
PA 03	DNR Wildlife Management Area, Scientific and Natural Area, and Native Prairie Bank Easement Program, Ph. V	8	8	8	8	8	-	8	5	8	8	69	
PA 04	Minnesota Prairie Recovery Project, Ph. IV	8	8	8	7	8	10	8	6	7	8	78	
PA 05	Minnesota Buffers for Wildlife and Water, Ph. III	8	9	8	5	5	10	7	6	6	7	71	
PA 06	Green Corridors, Ph. V	8	8	9	9	8	-	5	6	8	8	69	
PA 07 PRE 01	Cannon River Headwaters Habitat Complex, Ph. III	/	/	6	6	/	2	6	8	8	/	64 44	
FA 01	DNR Grasslands, Ph. V Minnesota Forest for the Future/State Forests, Ph. IV	6	3	6	7	8	3	3	7	7	6	56	
FA 02	Young Forest Conservation	5	5	3	4	4	10	5	4	2	2	44	
FA 03	The Camp Ripley Partnership: Protecting the Mighty Mississippi, Ph. III	6	4	6	6	7	-	4	7	7	6	53	Define long-term lease?
FA 04	Preventing Forest Fragmentation and Protecting and Resoring Lake and Stream Habitat in the St. Louis River Watershed	8	3	7	7	7	10	9	8	3	5	67	How will people find this spot?
FA 05	Northeastern Minnesota Sharp-tailed Grouse Habitat Partnership, Ph. IV	8	8	9	9	8	3	7	7	8	8	75	
FA 06	Protect (Acquire) Key Forest Habitat Lands in Cass County, Ph. IV	5	3	7	7	7	2	7	7	8	7	60	
FRE 01	DNR Accelerated Forest Habitat Restoration and Enhancement Program, Ph. III	3	3	4	5	4	-	3	4	4	5	35	
FRE 02	Minnesota Moose Habitat Collaborative, Ph. II	3	9	5	3	3	7	7	7	3	4	51	
FRE 03	Defending the St. Croix Riverway from the Bittersweet Onslaught	6	5	5	5	5	10	7	7	-	6	56	
WA 01	The RIM-WRP Partnership, Ph. V	9	10	9	9	9	10	9	8	8	10	91	
WA 02	Accelerating the Wildlife Production Area Acquisition, Ph. V	10	10	9	9	9	10	9	7	9	9	91	
WA 03	Shallow Lake and Wetland Protection Program, Ph. III	8	7	8	7	8	3	5	4	7	7	64	
WA 04	Wild Rice Shoreland Protection Program, Ph. II	6	8	7	6	10	3	8	7	5	5	65	
WA 05	McGregor Marsh Wetland Protection	8	8	10	8	9	-	7	8	3	8	69	Any income to state from cooperavive agreement?
WA 06	Wetland Habitat Protection Program	7	7	8	8	8	10	7	8	7	7	77	

		Design and So	Design and Scope of Work		Planning		Other Funds	Budget		Sustainability and Maintenance	Outcomes		
Project ID	Project Title	The strategies are clear, effective, and directly address the problem(s) outlined.	This is an urgent problem needing immediate attention.	If funded, the request will effectively address pertinent MN conservations plans.	The request is fully grounded in conservation science.	The request effectively addresses relevant LSOHC section priorities	The request maximizes leverage with other funding sources.	The budget supplements the organization's traditional funding sources and does not substitute.	The level of funding is reasonable for each component of the budget.	The request has a well-designed plan for sustainability and maintenance.	The stated outcomes provide meaningful evaluative data.	Total	Member Comments
	Acceleratated Wetland and Shallow Lake Enhancement,			_		2	2		_	2			
WRE 01	Ph. V	8	8	/	8	9	2	4	5	2	Ь	59	
WRE 02	Pelican Lake Enhancement	8	8	8	8	8	1	5	7	2	7	62	
WRE 03	Albert Lea Lake Management and Invasive Species Control Structure	7	3	3	4	3	10	6	8	10	5	59	Will this stop AIS?
WRE 04	Goose Lake Restoration and Carp Exclusion Project	5	2	2	4	1	10	5	5	10	3	47	
HA 01	DNR Aquatic Habitat, Ph. V	5	3	7	7	5	-	3	-	5	2	37	
HA 02	Habitat Protection in Dakota County, Ph. IV	8	5	7	7	7	10	7	7	3	7	68	
HA 03	Root River Protection and Restoration	8	3	7	7	8	2	8	6	7	7	63	
HA 04	Metro Big Rivers, Ph. IV	6	4	6	8	7	10	6	7	3	7	64	
HA 05	Minnesota Landscape Arboretum: Acquisition on Property Surrounding Lake Tamarack	4	-	3	8	3	10	3	3	3	3	40	
HA 06	Critical Shroeland Habitat Protection Program, Ph. II	7	5	3	8	8	10	6	4	6	6	63	
HRE 01	Wildlife Habitat Restoration and Enhancement in Metropolitan Regional Parks System	2	2	3	3	4	3	4	4	2	2	29	
HRE 02	Duluth 2012 Flood: Stream Habitat Restoration Program	3	7	4	3	6	10	4	4	3	4	48	
HRE 03	Lower Mississippi River Habitat Partnership, Ph. III	7	7	7	6	7	10	6	7	7	7	71	
HRE 04	Lake Zumbro Habitat Restoration Project	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	20	
HRE 05	Minnesota Trout Unlimited Coldwater Fish Habitat Enhancement and Restoration, Ph. IV	9	10	8	8	9	-	8	8	8	8	76	
HRE 06	Developing a National Destination for Trout Angling Cold Water Enthusiasts	2	4	4	3	3	4	3	4	2	4	33	
	TOTAL											2326	

		Recommend for Hearing (Y
		or N)
X 01	Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program, Ph. V	Υ
X 02	Contract Management	Y
X 03	Restoration Evaluations	Υ
X 04	High-Priority Tansaction Service Acceleration for LSOHC	Υ

Member Name Ryan Bronson

Evaluate each section of the Request for Funding on a scale of 1-10. **1=Strongly Disagree through 10=Strongly Agree** Maximum score per request is **100 points.**

For those not evaluating a proposal due to a **conflict of interest,** put "COI" in the score box.

	Overall proposal evaluation scores will be averaged usin	Design and Scop		1			Other Funds Budget			Sustainability	Outcomes	I	
		Design and Scop	e of work	Pidillillig			Other Fullus	Buuget		and Maintenance	Outcomes		
Project ID	Project Title	The strategies are clear, effective, and directly address the problem(s) outlined.	This is an urgent problem needing immediate attention.	If funded, the request will effectively address pertinent MN conservations plans.	The request is fully grounded in conservation science.	The request effectively addresses relevant LSOHC section priorities	The request maximizes leverage with other funding sources.	The budget supplements the organization's traditional funding sources and does not substitute	The level of funding is reasonable for each component of the budget.	The request has a well-designed plan for sustainability and maintenance.	outcomes provide meaningful		Member Comments
PA 01	Grasslands for the Future	9	10	10	8	10) (5 9	3	3 6	5 8	84	I
PA 02	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Acquisition, Ph. V	10	8	8	8	10	9	9	9	5 8	8	84	
PA 03	DNR Wildlife Management Area, Scientific and Natural Area, and Native Prairie Bank Easement Program, Ph. V	7	7	8	8	8	3	2	5 8	8	8	70	
PA 04	Minnesota Prairie Recovery Project, Ph. IV	9	g	9	8	g	10	7	7 8	8	3 7	84	
PA 05	Minnesota Buffers for Wildlife and Water, Ph. III	9	g	9	9	ğ	9	9	8	8	8	86	
PA 06	Green Corridors, Ph. V	6	6	4	7	. 4	:	1 1	L 4	1 4	1 4	41	
PA 07	Cannon River Headwaters Habitat Complex, Ph. III	8	ϵ	8	8	3	3	2	7	7	3 7	69	
PRE 01	DNR Grasslands, Ph. V	g	g	9	g	g	2	1	5	3	8	77	,
FA 01	Minnesota Forest for the Future/State Forests, Ph. IV	9	3	8	8	8	3	3	1 7	7	8	66	
FA 02	Young Forest Conservation	7	9	9	9	9	9	9 7	7	7 4	1 4	74	1
FA 03	The Camp Ripley Partnership: Protecting the Mighty Mississippi, Ph. III	8	7	7	7	7	3	3	7	7 8	7	68	
FA 04	Preventing Forest Fragmentation and Protecting and Resoring Lake and Stream Habitat in the St. Louis River Watershed	6	5	7	7	7	' · · ·	5	5	5 7	7	62	
FA 05	Northeastern Minnesota Sharp-tailed Grouse Habitat Partnership, Ph. IV	10	10	10	10	g	6	5 8	8	7	8	86	
FA 06	Protect (Acquire) Key Forest Habitat Lands in Cass County, Ph. IV	8	6	8	8	8	3	5	7 8	3 9	8	75	
FRE 01	DNR Accelerated Forest Habitat Restoration and Enhancement Program, Ph. III	8	7	8	8	8	3	1	5	8	3 7	73	
FRE 02	Minnesota Moose Habitat Collaborative, Ph. II	7	7	7	7	7	' 7	7	7	7 5	6	67	
FRE 03	Defending the St. Croix Riverway from the Bittersweet Onslaught	6	6	6	6	6	5	3	5 8	8	6	63	
WA 01	The RIM-WRP Partnership, Ph. V	10	8	10	9	9	10	9	3 8	3 8	8	88	
WA 02	Accelerating the Wildlife Production Area Acquisition, Ph. V	8	7	8	8	3	3	9	6	5 8	8	76	

		Design and Scop	e of Work	Planning			Other Funds	Budget		and	Outcomes		
Project ID	Project Title	The strategies are clear, effective, and directly address the problem(s) outlined.	-	If funded, the request will effectively address pertinent MN conservations plans.	The request is fully grounded in conservation science.	The request effectively addresses relevant LSOHC section priorities	The request maximizes leverage with other funding sources.	The budget supplements the organization's traditional funding sources and does not substitute	The level of funding is reasonable for each component of the budget.	Maintenance The request has a well-designed plan for sustainability and maintenance.	The stated outcomes provide meaningful evaluative data.		Member Comments
WA 03	Shallow Lake and Wetland Protection Program, Ph. III	10	10.00	10	10	10)	5 8	3	7 8	8	86	
WA 04	Wild Rice Shoreland Protection Program, Ph. II	9	9.00	9	9	g		6	3	8	8	83	
WA 05	McGregor Marsh Wetland Protection	9	9.00	9	9	g		6	9	9	8	86	5
WA 06	Wetland Habitat Protection Program	8	7	8	8	8	3	7	3	7 8	8	77	,
WRE 01	Acceleratated Wetland and Shallow Lake Enhancement, Ph. V	10	10	10	10	10)	4	7	8	8	85	
WRE 02	Pelican Lake Enhancement	10	10	10	10	10		5 8	3	3	8	87	
WRE 03	Albert Lea Lake Management and Invasive Species Control Structure	8	8	8	8	8	3	8	7	8	7	78	3
WRE 04	Goose Lake Restoration and Carp Exclusion Project	6	6	6	6	6	5	8	7	5	5	60	
HA 01	DNR Aquatic Habitat, Ph. V	8	7	8	9	9	e l	1	3	3 8	8	74	ı
HA 02	Habitat Protection in Dakota County, Ph. IV	9	8	9	9	9		9	7	5 7	7	80	
HA 03	Root River Protection and Restoration	8	6	7	7	7	,	9	7	7	7	72	
HA 04	Metro Big Rivers, Ph. IV	8	6	8	8	8	3	5	7	3	7	72	
HA 05	Minnesota Landscape Arboretum: Acquisition on Property Surrounding Lake Tamarack	6	2	4	4	6	5	9	5	2 3	3	44	
HA 06	Critical Shroeland Habitat Protection Program, Ph. II	8	5	7	8	7	7	8	7	7	7	71	
HRE 01	Wildlife Habitat Restoration and Enhancement in Metropolitan Regional Parks System	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	70	
HRE 02	Duluth 2012 Flood: Stream Habitat Restoration Program	6	7	6	6	7	7	8	3	5	5	63	
HRE 03	Lower Mississippi River Habitat Partnership, Ph. III	7	7	7	7	8	1	0	7	7	7	74	
HRE 04	Lake Zumbro Habitat Restoration Project	7	4	. 5	5		1	0	9	9 7	7	68	3
HRE 05	Minnesota Trout Unlimited Coldwater Fish Habitat	·								,		88	3
	Enhancement and Restoration, Ph. IV	9	7	9	9	9		9	9	9	9		
HRE 06	Developing a National Destination for Trout Angling				_							31	
	Cold Water Enthusiasts	5	1	2	2	2	2	2	5	1 4	1 4		
	TOTAL										•	2842	

		Recommend for
		Hearing (Y or N)
X 01	Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program, Ph. V	у
X 02	Contract Management	У
X 03	Restoration Evaluations	у
X 04	High-Priority Tansaction Service Acceleration for LSOHC	у

Evaluate each section of the Request for Funding on a scale of 1-10. **1=Strongly Disagree through 10=Strongly Agree** Maximum score per request is **100 points.**

For those not evaluating a proposal due to a **conflict of interest,** put "COI" in the score box.

	Design and Scope of Work			1 -			Other Funds Budget			Sustainability	Outcomes		
		_ co.g. and coop								and Maintenance			
		The strategies are clear, effective, and directly address the problem(s) outlined.	This is an urgent problem needing immediate attention.	If funded, the request will effectively address pertinent MN conservations plans.	The request is fully grounded in conservation science.	The request effectively addresses relevant LSOHC section priorities	The request maximizes leverage with other funding sources.	The budget supplements the organization's traditional funding sources and does not	The level of funding is reasonable for each component of the budget.	The request has a well-designed plan for sustainability and maintenance.	outcomes provide meaningful	Tabel	Marshay Commands
	Project Title		_		100	4.0	1	substitute	1 .		2		Member Comments
PA 01	Grasslands for the Future	8	 	8	10	10	U	<u>'</u>	8	8	8	74	
PA 02	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Acquisition, Ph. V	8	8	8	10	10	2	3	9	g	8	80	
PA 03	DNR Wildlife Management Area, Scientific and Natural Area, and Native Prairie Bank Easement Program, Ph. V	8	8	8	10	10	0	7	7	g	8	75	
PA 04	Minnesota Prairie Recovery Project, Ph. IV	g	7	, 8	10	10	4		8	9	8	82	
PA 05	Minnesota Buffers for Wildlife and Water, Ph. III	g	8	8	10	10	10	7	7 8	8	8 8	86	
PA 06	Green Corridors, Ph. V	8	8	9	10	10	0	8	7	9	8	77	
PA 07	Cannon River Headwaters Habitat Complex, Ph. III	9	7	, 9	10	10	1		9	9	8	80	
PRE 01	DNR Grasslands, Ph. V	9	7	9	10	10	0	7	7	9	8	76	
FA 01	Minnesota Forest for the Future/State Forests, Ph. IV	8	7	9	10	10	2	7	, 8	8	8	77	
FA 02	Young Forest Conservation	8	7	8	10	10	4	g	9	8	8	81	
FA 03	The Camp Ripley Partnership: Protecting the Mighty Mississippi, Ph. III	8	9	9	10	10	0	8	8	9	8	79	
FA 04	Preventing Forest Fragmentation and Protecting and Resoring Lake and Stream Habitat in the St. Louis River Watershed	8	g	8	10	10	4	8	9	8	8	82	
FA 05	Northeastern Minnesota Sharp-tailed Grouse Habitat Partnership, Ph. IV	8	g	8	10	10	2	8	9	8	8	80	
FA 06	Protect (Acquire) Key Forest Habitat Lands in Cass County, Ph. IV	8	8	8	10	10	3	8	9	8	8	80	
FRE 01	DNR Accelerated Forest Habitat Restoration and Enhancement Program, Ph. III	8	7	8	10	10	0	7	8	8	8	74	
FRE 02	Minnesota Moose Habitat Collaborative, Ph. II	8	9	8	10		_	9	8	8	8	81	
FRE 03	Defending the St. Croix Riverway from the Bittersweet Onslaught	8	7	7	10	10	4	7	8	8	8	77	
WA 01	The RIM-WRP Partnership, Ph. V	8	8	8	10			7	7 8	8	8	85	
WA 02	Accelerating the Wildlife Production Area Acquisition, Ph. V	8	7	8	10	10	6	7	8	8	8	80	

		Design and Scop	e of Work	Planning			Other Funds	Budget		Sustainability and	Outcomes		
Project ID	Project Title	The strategies are clear, effective, and directly address the problem(s) outlined.	This is an urgent problem needing immediate attention.	If funded, the request will effectively address pertinent MN conservations plans.	conservation science.	The request effectively addresses relevant LSOHC section priorities	The request maximizes leverage with other funding sources.	The budget supplements the organization's traditional funding sources and does not substitute	The level of funding is reasonable for each component of the budget.	Maintenance The request has a well-designed plan for sustainability and maintenance.	outcomes provide meaningful		Member Comments
WA 03	Shallow Lake and Wetland Protection Program, Ph. III	8	8	8	10	10	2	2	7 8	3 8	8	77	
WA 04	Wild Rice Shoreland Protection Program, Ph. II	8	7	8	10			2	3 7	7	8	76	
WA 05	McGregor Marsh Wetland Protection	9	8	8	10		C) 8	3 9	9	8	79	
WA 06	Wetland Habitat Protection Program	8	7	8	10	10	4	1	3	8	8	79	
WRE 01	Acceleratated Wetland and Shallow Lake Enhancement, Ph. V	8	7	8	10	10	2	2	7 8	8	8	76	
WRE 02	Pelican Lake Enhancement	8	8	8	10	10	2	2	3	3	8	78	
WRE 03	Albert Lea Lake Management and Invasive Species Control Structure	8	7	7	10	10	5	5	3	8	8	79	
WRE 04	Goose Lake Restoration and Carp Exclusion Project	8	7	7	10	10	2	1 8	8	8	8	78	
HA 01	DNR Aquatic Habitat, Ph. V	8	7	, 7	10	10)		3 8	3 8	8	74	
HA 02	Habitat Protection in Dakota County, Ph. IV	8	7	7	10	10	2	. 8	3	7 8	3	76	
HA 03	Root River Protection and Restoration	8	7	8	10	10	3	3	3	3	8	78	
HA 04	Metro Big Rivers, Ph. IV	8	8	8	10	10	4		3	3	8	80	
HA 05	Minnesota Landscape Arboretum: Acquisition on	8	8	8	10	10	10		9	3 8	8	87	
	Property Surrounding Lake Tamarack												
HA 06	Critical Shroeland Habitat Protection Program, Ph. II	8	8	8	10	10	5	5	3	8	8	81	
HRE 01	Wildlife Habitat Restoration and Enhancement in Metropolitan Regional Parks System	8	8	3 7	10	10	3	3	3	8	3 7	77	
HRE 02	Duluth 2012 Flood: Stream Habitat Restoration Program	8	10	8	10	10	5	5	3	8	8	84	
HRE 03	Lower Mississippi River Habitat Partnership, Ph. III	8	7	7	10	10	10	8	8	7	7	82	
HRE 04	Lake Zumbro Habitat Restoration Project	7	6	6	10	10			9	3	7	70	
HRE 05	Minnesota Trout Unlimited Coldwater Fish Habitat	ĺ									·	78	
2 00	Enhancement and Restoration, Ph. IV	8	8	8	10	10) ()		9 8	8 8		
HRE 06	Developing a National Destination for Trout Angling	Ĭ				10						80	
2 00	Cold Water Enthusiasts	8	8	8	10	10	3	3	9	3	8	30	
	TOTAL					1						3075	

		Recommend for Hearing (Y or N)
X 01	Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program, Ph. V	Υ
X 02	Contract Management	Υ
X 03	Restoration Evaluations	N
X 04	High-Priority Tansaction Service Acceleration for LSOHC	Υ

Member Name David Hartwell

Evaluate each section of the Request for Funding on a scale of 1-10. **1=Strongly Disagree through 10=Strongly Agree** Maximum score per request is **100 points.**

For those not evaluating a proposal due to a **conflict of interest,** put "COI" in the score box.

	Overall proposal evaluation scores will be averaged using th	ill be averaged using the number of members evaluating that individual proposal.											
		Design and S	cope of Work		Planning		Other Funds	•		Sustainability	Outcomes		
										and			
										Maintenance			
		The strategies	This is an	If funded, the	The request is	The request	The request	The budget	The level of	The request has a	The stated		
		are clear,	urgent problem	request will	fully grounded in	effectively	maximizes	supplements the	funding is	well-designed	outcomes		
		effective, and	needing	effectively	conservation	addresses	leverage with	organization's	reasonable for	plan for	provide		
		directly address	immediate	address	science.	relevant LSOHC	other funding	traditional	each	sustainability and	meaningful		
		the problem(s)	attention.	pertinent MN		section priorities	sources.	funding sources	component of	maintenance.	evaluative data.		
Project ID	Project Title	outlined.		conservations				and does not	the budget.			Total	Member Comments
PA 01	Grasslands for the Future	9	9	10	9	10	1	5	7	6	7	73	
PA 02	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Acquisition, Ph. V	9	8	10	8	10	2	5	7	7	7	73	
	DNR Wildlife Management Area, Scientific and Natural												
PA 03	Area, and Native Prairie Bank Easement Program, Ph. V	9	10	10	9	10	1	5	7	5	8	74	
	Area, and Native Frame Bank Lasement Frogram, Fir. v												
PA 04	Minnesota Prairie Recovery Project, Ph. IV	8	7	10	9	10	3	7	7	5	7	73	
PA 05	Minnesota Buffers for Wildlife and Water, Ph. III	9	8	10	8	10	10	9	8	5	6	83	
PA 06	Green Corridors, Ph. V	7	7	10	6	10	1	5	6	2	6	60	
PA 07	Cannon River Headwaters Habitat Complex, Ph. III	8	7	10	8	10	1	8	8	5	6	71	
PRE 01	DNR Grasslands, Ph. V	7	7	10	9	10	1	6	6	1	7	64	
FA 01	Minnesota Forest for the Future/State Forests, Ph. IV	8	8	10	8	10	1	8	7	5	4	69	
FA 02	Young Forest Conservation	6	5	10	8	10	5	8	5	4	5	66	
FA 03	The Camp Ripley Partnership: Protecting the Mighty Mississippi, Ph. III	5	3	7	5	7	1	4	6	5	5	48	
	Preventing Forest Fragmentation and Protecting and												
FA 04	Resoring Lake and Stream Habitat in the St. Louis River Watershed	9	9	10	8	10	5	9	8	8	8	84	
FA 05	Northeastern Minnesota Sharp-tailed Grouse Habitat Partnership, Ph. IV	7	7	10	9	10	1	8	8	5	7	72	
FA 06	Protect (Acquire) Key Forest Habitat Lands in Cass County, Ph. IV	9	7	10	8	10	1	9	8	9	8	79	
FRE 01	DNR Accelerated Forest Habitat Restoration and Enhancement Program, Ph. III	6	4	8	4	10	1	4	4	3	5	49	
FRE 02	Minnesota Moose Habitat Collaborative, Ph. II	5	5	6	6	7	1	6	6	2	4	48	
FRE 03	Defending the St. Croix Riverway from the Bittersweet Onslaught	6	7	7	6	7	3	4	4	2	3	49	
WA 01	The RIM-WRP Partnership, Ph. V	10	9	10	9	10	10	7	8	5	5	83	
WA 02	Accelerating the Wildlife Production Area Acquisition, Ph. V	9	7	10	9	10	8	8	8	7	7	83	
WA 03	Shallow Lake and Wetland Protection Program, Ph. III	8	8	10	8	10	2	7	7	8	7	75	
WA 04	Wild Rice Shoreland Protection Program, Ph. II	10	8	10	9	10	2	8	7	8	8	80	
WA 05	McGregor Marsh Wetland Protection	10	7	10	8	10	1	8	8	10	8	80	
WA 06	Wetland Habitat Protection Program	10	8	10	8	10	6	8	8	10	8	86	

			cope of Work		Planning		Other Funds	Bud	get	Sustainability and Maintenance	Outcomes		
Project ID	Project Title	The strategies are clear, effective, and directly address the problem(s) outlined.	This is an urgent problem needing immediate attention.	If funded, the request will effectively address pertinent MN conservations	The request is fully grounded in conservation science.	The request effectively addresses relevant LSOHC section priorities	The request maximizes leverage with other funding sources.	The budget supplements the organization's traditional funding sources and does not cubetiute	The level of funding is reasonable for each component of the budget.	The request has a well-designed plan for sustainability and maintenance.	The stated outcomes provide meaningful evaluative data.	Total	Member Comments
WRE 01	Acceleratated Wetland and Shallow Lake Enhancement, Ph. V	9	6	10	8	10	1	7	8	4	7	70	
WRE 02	Pelican Lake Enhancement	7	5	10	7	10	1	9	8	6	6	69	
WRE 03	Albert Lea Lake Management and Invasive Species Control Structure	7	5	8	5	10	5	8	7	4	5	64	
WRE 04	Goose Lake Restoration and Carp Exclusion Project	7	5	8	5	10	4	7	8	6	6	66	
HA 01	DNR Aquatic Habitat, Ph. V	7	5	10	8	10	1	9	6	5	5	66	
HA 02	Habitat Protection in Dakota County, Ph. IV	9	5	10	9	10	5	10	9	5	7	79	
HA 03	Root River Protection and Restoration	9	7	10	9	10	3	8	7	8	6	77	
HA 04	Metro Big Rivers, Ph. IV	8	8	10	9	10	3	8	7	7	5	75	
HA 05	Minnesota Landscape Arboretum: Acquisition on Property Surrounding Lake Tamarack	5	3	5	4	5	8	8	3	4	2	47	
HA 06	Critical Shroeland Habitat Protection Program, Ph. II	10	6	10	8	10	6	9	8	10	6	83	
HRE 01	Wildlife Habitat Restoration and Enhancement in Metropolitan Regional Parks System	6	5	8	5	9	2	5	4	4	4	52	
HRE 02	Duluth 2012 Flood: Stream Habitat Restoration Program	7	4	6	6	6	3	4	5	2	4	47	
HRE 03	Lower Mississippi River Habitat Partnership, Ph. III	7	5	9	5	9	10	7	7	2	4	65	
HRE 04	Lake Zumbro Habitat Restoration Project	4	2	4	3	3	1	7	5	2	2	33	
HRE 05	Minnesota Trout Unlimited Coldwater Fish Habitat Enhancement and Restoration, Ph. IV	9	5	10	8	10	1	9	8	7	7	74	
HRE 06	Developing a National Destination for Trout Angling Cold Water Enthusiasts	4	2	5	4	6	1	6	4	4	3	39	
	TOTAL											2628	

		Recommend
		for Hearing (Y
		or N)
X 01	Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program, Ph. V	Conflict
X 02	Contract Management	N
X 03	Restoration Evaluations	N
X 04	High-Priority Tansaction Service Acceleration for LSOHC	N

I don't think a hearing is necessary - does not mean we should not fund. I don't think a hearing is necessary - does not mean we should not fund. I don't think a hearing is necessary - does not mean we should not fund. Member Name JANE H. KINGSTON

Evaluate each section of the Request for Funding on a scale of 1-10. **1=Strongly Disagree through 10=Strongly Agree** Maximum score per request is **100 points.**

For those not evaluating a proposal due to a **conflict of interest**, put "COI" in the score box.

Overall proposal evaluation scores will be averaged using the number of members evaluating that individual proposal.

Design and Scope of Work Planning Other Funds Budget Sustainability Outcomes and

		_				_	_		_	Maintenance			
		The strategies are clear, effective, and directly address the problem(s) outlined.	This is an urgent problem needing immediate attention.	If funded, the request will effectively address pertinent MN conservations plans.	The request is fully grounded in conservation science.	The request effectively addresses relevant LSOHC section priorities	The request maximizes leverage with other funding sources.		The level of funding is reasonable for each component of the budget.	The request has a well-designed plan for sustainability and maintenance.			
Project ID	Project Title											Total	Member Comments
PA 01	Grasslands for the Future	9	10	9	8	9	9	8	5	7	7	81	Not open.
PA 02	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Acquisition, Ph. V	8	8	9	8	9	0	8	4	6	8	68	Progress on past grants?
PA 03	DNR Wildlife Management Area, Scientific and Natural Area, and Native Prairie Bank Easement Program, Ph. V	9	8	9	10	8	3	8	7	6	9	77	Progress on past grants? \$2.85M from LCCMR
PA 04	Minnesota Prairie Recovery Project, Ph. IV	10	9	9	10	10	7	8	8	8	9	88	Good Progress report. How are direct services estimated?
PA 05	Minnesota Buffers for Wildlife and Water, Ph. III	9	8	7	7	6	4	8	4	6	4	63	Not open. Progress on past grants?
PA 06	Green Corridors, Ph. V	8	7	10	8	7	3	10	6	6	8	73	Progress on past grants?
PA 07	Cannon River Headwaters Habitat Complex, Ph. III	9	6	10	8	8	0	8	7	6	0	62	Progress on past grants?
PRE 01	DNR Grasslands, Ph. V	10	6	10	9	10	0	8	10	6	6	75	
FA 01	Minnesota Forest for the Future/State Forests, Ph. IV	9	7	10	8	10	3	7	8	7	7	76	Clarification on Progress to date? Need Info on relationship to other funds.
FA 02	Young Forest Conservation	9	7	9	9	9	9	8	9	6	5	80	How are Direct Services calculated?
FA 03	The Camp Ripley Partnership: Protecting the Mighty Mississippi, Ph. III	7	8	6	7	7	0	8	7	6	5	61	Not open. Progress on past grants - need clarification.
FA 04	Preventing Forest Fragmentation and Protecting and Resoring Lake and Stream Habitat in the St. Louis River Watershed	6	6	8	6	7	6	5	4	6	5	59	Res land.
FA 05	Northeastern Minnesota Sharp-tailed Grouse Habitat Partnership, Ph. IV	6	6	8	8	8	0	8	10	6	7	67	Progress on past grants?
FA 06	Protect (Acquire) Key Forest Habitat Lands in Cass County, Ph. IV	6	7	4	4	5	0	8	10	8	4	56	Progress on past grants?
FRE 01	DNR Accelerated Forest Habitat Restoration and Enhancement Program, Ph. III	10	6	10	9	10	0	6	10	5	6		Open? Direct Services 9% - High. Progress report on past grants?
FRE 02	Minnesota Moose Habitat Collaborative, Ph. II	10	9	9	8	8	2	10	8	7	5		Open? Progress report on past grants?
FRE 03	Defending the St. Croix Riverway from the Bittersweet Onslaught	4	3	4	4	3	6	3	8	3	3	41	Planning, analysis, education. How are Direct Services estimated? \$300K from LCCMR.
WA 01	The RIM-WRP Partnership, Ph. V	10	10	10	10	10	10	8	8	6	8	90	Open? Progress report on past grants? \$3M from LCCMR.
WA 02	Accelerating the Wildlife Production Area Acquisition, Ph. V	10	8	10	9	10	0	9	5	6	8	75	Progress on past grants?
WA 03	Shallow Lake and Wetland Protection Program, Ph. III	10	10	10	10	10	6	8	5	6	6	81	Progress on past grants?
WA 04	Wild Rice Shoreland Protection Program, Ph. II	10	9	10	9	10	2	8	6	8	7		All open? Progress on past grant?
WA 05	McGregor Marsh Wetland Protection	9	8	10	8	9	1	7	8	7	7	74	
WA 06	Wetland Habitat Protection Program	10	8	9	10	10	8	8	8	7	7	85	Not open.

										and Maintenance			
Project ID	Project Title	The strategies are clear, effective, and directly address the problem(s) outlined.	This is an urgent problem needing immediate attention.	If funded, the request will effectively address pertinent MN conservations plans.	The request is fully grounded in conservation science.	The request effectively addresses relevant LSOHC section priorities	The request maximizes leverage with other funding sources.	The budget supplements the organization's traditional funding sources and does not substitute.		The request has a well-designed plan for sustainability and maintenance.	outcomes provide meaningful	Total	Member Comments
WRE 01	Acceleratated Wetland and Shallow Lake Enhancement, Ph. V	8	8	10	8	10	6	7	10	6	6	79	Open? High Direct Services - estimated how?
WRE 02	Pelican Lake Enhancement	8	6	9	8	8	5	6	8	6	9	73	Map would help.
WRE 03	Albert Lea Lake Management and Invasive Species Control Structure	8	9	8	7	7	4	6	9	6	9	73	
WRE 04	Goose Lake Restoration and Carp Exclusion Project	8	8	8	7	7	4	6	8	8	8	72	
HA 01	DNR Aquatic Habitat, Ph. V	10	10	10	10	10	0	6	5	7	8	76	Progress on past grants? How are Direct Services estimated?
HA 02	Habitat Protection in Dakota County, Ph. IV	5	4	6	6	6	8	6	6	6	4		Progress on past grants?
HA 03	Root River Protection and Restoration	8	7	8	9	9	4	6	8	7	7	73	Half of tracts also included in FA01, as well as PA03. MLT involved.
HA 04	Metro Big Rivers, Ph. IV	10	6	10	8	8	8	8	6	8	6	78	Progress on past grants?
HA 05	Minnesota Landscape Arboretum: Acquisition on Property Surrounding Lake Tamarack	9	5	5	5	5	10	6	1	7	6	59	Fish-yes;Hunt-no. \$2M from LCCMR. Parks & Trails. No PILT.
HA 06	Critical Shroeland Habitat Protection Program, Ph. II	9	5	6	6	5	2	6	8	7	6	60	Not open. Progress on past grants?
HRE 01	Wildlife Habitat Restoration and Enhancement in Metropolitan Regional Parks System	7	4	6	5	5	9	5	6	7	6	60	Not open. Park. \$2M from LCCMR.
HRE 02	Duluth 2012 Flood: Stream Habitat Restoration Program	5	5	5	5	3	8	8	0	5	5	49	Open to fishing only? Problem has been ID'd, but no plan as yet.
HRE 03	Lower Mississippi River Habitat Partnership, Ph. III	6	4	8	5	6	10	7	7	4	5	62	Open? Progress report on past grants?
HRE 04	Lake Zumbro Habitat Restoration Project	8	3	2	2	4	10	7	1	7	6	50	Open? Natural lake?
HRE 05	Minnesota Trout Unlimited Coldwater Fish Habitat Enhancement and Restoration, Ph. IV	10	6	10	8	10	0	10	1	7	7	69	Progress on past grants?
HRE 06	Developing a National Destination for Trout Angling Cold Water Enthusiasts	3	2	3	2	3	2	7	3	4	4		Parks & Trails
	TOTAL											2682	

Other Funds

Budget

Sustainability Outcomes

Do not complete the evaluation criteria for the following funding requests. Indicate if they should be recommended for a hearing.

Design and Scope of Work

Planning

Recommend for Hearing (Y or N)

X 01 Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program, Ph. V

X 02 Contract Management N

X 03 Restoration Evaluations Y

X 04 High-Priority Transaction Service Acceleration for LSOHC

Recommend for Hearing (Y or N)

Y

Very high Direct Services %.

Evaluate each section of the Request for Funding on a scale of 1-10. **1=Strongly Disagree through 10=Strongly Agree**Maximum score per request is **100 points.**

For those not evaluating a proposal due to a **conflict of interest**, put "COI" in the score box.

	Design and Scope of Work Planning		i proposai.	1					la	ĺ			
		Design and Scop	e ot work	Planning			Otner Funds	Budget		Sustainability and Maintenance	Outcomes		
		The strategies are clear, effective, and directly address the problem(s)	This is an urgent problem needing immediate attention.	If funded, the request will effectively address pertinent MN conservations	The request is fully grounded in conservation science.	The request effectively addresses relevant LSOHC section priorities	The request maximizes leverage with other funding sources.	The budget supplements the organization's traditional funding sources	The level of funding is reasonable for each component of the budget.	The request has a well-designed plan for	outcomes provide meaningful		
		outlined.		plans.		section priorities	sources.	and does not	or the budget.	maintenance.			
	Project Title			<u>'</u>				substitute					Member Comments
PA 01	Grasslands for the Future	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	90	
PA 02	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Acquisition, Ph. V	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	60	
PA 03	DNR Wildlife Management Area, Scientific and Natural Area, and Native Prairie Bank Easement Program, Ph. V	9	9	9	9	9	9	ğ	g g	9	9	90	
PA 04	Minnesota Prairie Recovery Project, Ph. IV	9	9	9	9	9	9	g	9 9	9	9	90	
PA 05	Minnesota Buffers for Wildlife and Water, Ph. III	8	8	8	8	8	8	3	8	8	8	80	
PA 06	Green Corridors, Ph. V	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	50	
PA 07	Cannon River Headwaters Habitat Complex, Ph. III	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	70	
PRE 01	DNR Grasslands, Ph. V	9	9	9	9	9	9	g	9	9	9	90	
FA 01	Minnesota Forest for the Future/State Forests, Ph. IV	9	9	9	9	9	9	g	9	9	9	90	
FA 02	Young Forest Conservation	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	50	
FA 03	The Camp Ripley Partnership: Protecting the Mighty Mississippi, Ph. III	9	9	9	9	9	9	g	9	9	9	90	
FA 04	Preventing Forest Fragmentation and Protecting and Resoring Lake and Stream Habitat in the St. Louis River Watershed	9	9	9	9	9	9	g) g	9	9	90	
FA 05	Northeastern Minnesota Sharp-tailed Grouse Habitat Partnership, Ph. IV	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	70	
FA 06	Protect (Acquire) Key Forest Habitat Lands in Cass County, Ph. IV	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	50	
FRE 01	DNR Accelerated Forest Habitat Restoration and Enhancement Program, Ph. III	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5 5	5	5	50	
FRE 02	Minnesota Moose Habitat Collaborative, Ph. II	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	70	
FRE 03	Defending the St. Croix Riverway from the Bittersweet Onslaught	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8 8	8	8	80	
WA 01	The RIM-WRP Partnership, Ph. V	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	90	
WA 02	Accelerating the Wildlife Production Area Acquisition, Ph. V	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	70	

		Design and Scop	e of Work	Planning			Other Funds	Budget		Sustainability and	Outcomes		
Project ID	Project Title	The strategies are clear, effective, and directly address the problem(s) outlined.	This is an urgent problem needing immediate attention.	If funded, the request will effectively address pertinent MN conservations plans.	The request is fully grounded in conservation science.	The request effectively addresses relevant LSOHC section priorities	The request maximizes leverage with other funding sources.	The budget supplements the organization's traditional funding sources and does not substitute	The level of funding is reasonable for each component of the budget.	Maintenance The request has a well-designed plan for sustainability and maintenance.	outcomes provide meaningful		Member Comments
WA 03	Shallow Lake and Wetland Protection Program, Ph. III	7	7.00	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	70	
WA 04	Wild Rice Shoreland Protection Program, Ph. II	6	6.00	6	6	6	5	6	5	6	6	60	
WA 05	McGregor Marsh Wetland Protection	5	5.00	5	5	5		5 .	5 5	5	5	50	
WA 06	Wetland Habitat Protection Program	5	5	5	5	5 5		5 !	5 5	5	5	50	
WRE 01	Acceleratated Wetland and Shallow Lake Enhancement, Ph. V	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9 9	9 9	9	90	
WRE 02	Pelican Lake Enhancement	5	5	5	5	5	5	5 !	5 5	5	5	50	
WRE 03	Albert Lea Lake Management and Invasive Species	9	9	9	9	9	9	9 9	9	9	9	90	
	Control Structure												
WRE 04	Goose Lake Restoration and Carp Exclusion Project	9	9	9	g	9 9	9	9 9	9 9	9 9	9	90	
HA 01	DNR Aquatic Habitat, Ph. V	9	9	9	9	9	9	9 9	9 9	9	9	90	
HA 02	Habitat Protection in Dakota County, Ph. IV	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	90	
HA 03	Root River Protection and Restoration	8	8	8	8	8	3	8	3 8	8	8	80	
HA 04	Metro Big Rivers, Ph. IV	9	9	9	9	9		9	9	9	9	90	
HA 05	Minnesota Landscape Arboretum: Acquisition on	9	9	9	9	9	9	9 9	9	9	9	90	
	Property Surrounding Lake Tamarack												
HA 06	Critical Shroeland Habitat Protection Program, Ph. II	6	6	6	6	6	5	6	5	6	6	60	
HRE 01	Wildlife Habitat Restoration and Enhancement in Metropolitan Regional Parks System	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	90	
HRE 02	Duluth 2012 Flood: Stream Habitat Restoration Program	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9 9	9	90	
HRE 03	Lower Mississippi River Habitat Partnership, Ph. III	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	70	
HRE 04	Lake Zumbro Habitat Restoration Project	5	5	5	5	5	5	5 !	5	5	5 5	50	
HRE 05	Minnesota Trout Unlimited Coldwater Fish Habitat											80	
	Enhancement and Restoration, Ph. IV	8	8	8	8	8	3	8	3 8	8 8	8		
HRE 06	Developing a National Destination for Trout Angling											80	
.1112 00	Cold Water Enthusiasts	8	8	8	8	8	3	8	3	8	8 8		
	TOTAL							-				2930	

		Recommend for
		Hearing (Y or N)
V 04		ricaring (1 or 14)
X 01	Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program, Ph. V	У
X 02	Contract Management	
X 03	Restoration Evaluations	
X 04	High-Priority Tansaction Service Acceleration for LSOHC	

Member Name Denny McNamara

Evaluate each section of the Request for Funding on a scale of 1-10. **1=Strongly Disagree through 10=Strongly Agree** Maximum score per request is **100 points.**

For those not evaluating a proposal due to a **conflict of interest,** put "COI" in the score box.

Project Proj		Overall proposal evaluation scores will be averaged using th	1	cope of Work	Planning		Other Funds	er Funds Budget		Sustainability	Outcomes	İ		
The Kartakges The Kartakge			2 co.g.: a.i.a.c	оре от тоги					Dauget		· ·			
Part											Maintenance			
Project Project Title Pr			_				·	-	_		· ·			
Accelerating the Ministry of				• .	·	, -	,			_	_			
Project Title Project Titl				-					-					
Polect P			· ·					_				-		
PACID Forest Three PACID FOREST			outlined.							the budget.				
Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Acquisition, Ph. V And DNR Wildlife Management Area, Scientific and Natural Area, and Nature Prairie Bank Easement Program, Ph. V B	Project IE	Project Title			plans.				substitute.				Total	Member Comments
PA 02 DNR Wildlife Management Area, Scientific and Natural Area, and Native Prairie Bank Easement Program, Ph. V 8 8 8 8 8 7 9 6 9 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	PA 01	Grasslands for the Future	9	9	9	8	9	9	8	8	7	8	84	
PA 03	PA 02		8	8	8	8	9	6	9	8	7	7	78	
Area, and Native Prairie Bank Easement Program, Ph. V Area, and Native Prairie Bank Easement Program, Ph. V After a service of the Program of the Program, Ph. V After a service of the Program of the	DV U3	DNR Wildlife Management Area, Scientific and Natural	Q	Q	Q	7	a	6	a	7	7	7	76	
PA 05 Minnesota Buffers for Wildlife and Water, Ph. III 10 9 9 10 9 7 9 8 9 8 88 PA 06 Green Corridors, Ph. V 6 5 4 7 5 5 7 5 7 6 6 5 5 5 PA 07 Cannon River Headwaters Habitat Complex, Ph. III 6 7 7 5 5 7 5 7 6 6 5 5 5 PRE 01 DNR Grasslands, Ph. V 7 6 6 7 6 6 7 6 6 7 6 6	FA 03	Area, and Native Prairie Bank Easement Program, Ph. V	0	0	0	,		O		,	,	,	70	
PA 06 Green Corridors, Ph. V Cannon River Headwaters Habitat Complex, Ph. III G T T T T T T T T T	PA 04	Minnesota Prairie Recovery Project, Ph. IV	8	9	9	9	9	7	9	7	8	8	83	
PA 07 Camnon River Headwaters Habitat Complex, Ph. III 6 7 5 5 7 6 6 6 5 59 PRE 01 DNR Grasslands, Ph. V 9 10 9 9 8 7 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	PA 05	Minnesota Buffers for Wildlife and Water, Ph. III	10	9	9	10	9	7	9	8	9	8	88	
PRE 01 DNR Grasslands, Ph. V 9 10 9 9 8 7 7 8 8 8 83 FA 01 Minnesota Forest for the Future/State Forests, Ph. IV 7 6 6 7 6 5 6 6 7 6 62 FA 02 Young Forest Conservation 4 3 3 3 4 5 4 3 3 3 4 36 FA 03 The Camp Ripley Partnership: Protecting the Mighty 7 7 8 7 7 5 6 6 6 FA 03 The Camp Ripley Partnership: Protecting the Mighty 7 7 8 7 7 5 6 6 6 FA 04 Resoring Lake and Stream Habitat in the St. Louis River 7 8 8 7 8 8 7 7 7 7		Green Corridors, Ph. V	6	5	4	5	5	5	6	4	4	3		
FA 01 Minnesota Forest for the Future/State Forests, Ph. IV 7 6 6 7 6 5 6 6 7 6 62		·	6	7	5	5	7	5	7	6	6	5		
FA 02 Young Forest Conservation 4 3 3 3 4 5 4 3 3 3 4 36 FA 03 The Camp Ripley Partnership: Protecting the Mighty Mississippi, Ph. III FA 04 Resoring Lake and Stream Habitat in the St. Louis River Watershed FA 05 Northeastern Minnesota Sharp-tailed Grouse Habitat Partnership, Ph. IV FA 06 Protect (Acquire) Key Forest Habitat Lands in Cass County, Ph. IV FR 01 DNR Accelerated Forest Habitat Restoration and Enhancement Program, Ph. III FR 02 Minnesota Moose Habitat Collaborative, Ph. II FRE 03 Defending the St. Croix Riverway from the Bittersweet Onslaught WA 01 The RIM-WRP Partnership, Ph. V 8 7 7 7 5 6 6 6 7 7 7 6 7 6 7 6 7 7 7 7 7	PRE 01	DNR Grasslands, Ph. V	9	10	9	9	8	7	7	8	8	8	83	
FA 03 The Camp Ripley Partnership: Protecting the Mighty Mississippi, Ph. III Fa 05 Fa 06 Fa 06 Fa 06 Fa 06 Fa 07 Fa 07 Fa 08 Fa 07 Fa 08 Fa	FA 01	Minnesota Forest for the Future/State Forests, Ph. IV	7	6	6	7	6	5	6	6	7	6	62	
FA 04 Preventing Forest Fragmentation and Protecting and FA 04 Resorting Lake and Stream Habitat in the St. Louis River 7	FA 02	Young Forest Conservation	4	3	3	3	4	5	4	3	3	4	36	
FA 04 Resoring Lake and Stream Habitat in the St. Louis River Watershed 7 8 8 7 8 6 7 7 7 6 71 FA 05 Northeastern Minnesota Sharp-tailed Grouse Habitat Partnership, Ph. IV 6 6 6 7 5 5 6 5 6 6 58 FA 06 Protect (Acquire) Key Forest Habitat Lands in Cass County, Ph. IV 5 5 6 6 5 5 6 6 6 5 5 5 6 6 5	FA 03		7	7	8	7	7	5	6	6	7	6	66	
Watershed FA 05 Northeastern Minnesota Sharp-tailed Grouse Habitat Partnership, Ph. IV 6 6 6 7 5 5 6 5 6 6 58 FA 06 Protect (Acquire) Key Forest Habitat Lands in Cass County, Ph. IV 5 5 6 6 5 5 6 6 6 5 55 FRE 01 DNR Accelerated Forest Habitat Restoration and Enhancement Program, Ph. III 6 7 7 7 7 5		Preventing Forest Fragmentation and Protecting and												
FAUS Partnership, Ph. IV S	FA 04	_	7	8	8	7	8	6	7	7	7	6	71	
FA 06	FA 05		6	6	6	7	5	5	6	5	6	6	58	
FRE 01 Enhancement Program, Ph. III FRE 02 Minnesota Moose Habitat Collaborative, Ph. II FRE 03 Defending the St. Croix Riverway from the Bittersweet Onslaught WA 01 The RIM-WRP Partnership, Ph. V 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 6 6 5 7 60 8 7 7 5 5 5 6 5 7 60 8 8 7 9 9 9 9 9 8 8 8 7 8 8 8 7 8 8 8 8	FA 06		5	5	6	6	5	5	6	6	6	5	55	
FRE 02 Minnesota Moose Habitat Collaborative, Ph. II 8 9 8 5 7 5 5 4 4 60 FRE 03 Defending the St. Croix Riverway from the Bittersweet Onslaught 6 8 7 5 5 6 5 6 5 7 60 WA 01 The RIM-WRP Partnership, Ph. V 8 7 9 9 9 8 8 8 7 8 81	FRE 01		6	7	7	7	7	5	5	5	5	5	59	
FRE 03 Defending the St. Croix Riverway from the Bittersweet Onslaught 6 8 7 5 5 6 5 6 5 7 60 WA 01 The RIM-WRP Partnership, Ph. V 8 7 9 9 9 8 8 7 8 81	FRE 02		8	9	8	5	7	5	5	5	4	4	60	
WA 01 The RIM-WRP Partnership, Ph. V 8 7 9 9 8 8 7 8 81		Defending the St. Croix Riverway from the Bittersweet	6	8	7	5	5	6	5	6	5	7	60	
	WA 01	-	8	7	9	9	9	8	8	8	7	8	81	
			8	7	9	9	9	8	7	8	8	7	80	
WA 03 Shallow Lake and Wetland Protection Program, Ph. III 9 9 9 7 8 7 8 8 9 82	WA 03	Shallow Lake and Wetland Protection Program, Ph. III	9	9	9	7	8	7	8	8	8	9	82	
WA 04 Wild Rice Shoreland Protection Program, Ph. II 7 8 7 7 7 7 7 6 5 68	WA 04	Wild Rice Shoreland Protection Program, Ph. II	7	8	7	7	7	7	7	7	6	5	68	
WA 05 McGregor Marsh Wetland Protection 6 6 7 7 6 6 6 6 7 63	WA 05	_	6	6	7	7	6	6	6	6	6	7	63	

		Design and S	cope of Work		Planning		Other Funds	Bud	get	Sustainability and Maintenance	Outcomes		
		The strategies are clear, effective, and directly address the problem(s) outlined.	This is an urgent problem needing immediate attention.	If funded, the request will effectively address pertinent MN conservations	The request is fully grounded in conservation science.	The request effectively addresses relevant LSOHC section priorities	The request maximizes leverage with other funding sources.	The budget supplements the organization's traditional funding sources and does not	The level of funding is reasonable for each component of the budget.	The request has a well-designed plan for sustainability and maintenance.	The stated outcomes provide meaningful evaluative data.		
Project ID	Project Title	outilileu.		plans.				substitute.	tile buuget.			Total	Member Comments
WA 06	Wetland Habitat Protection Program	5	Г	5	5	6	5	6	6	6	5	54	
WRE 01	Acceleratated Wetland and Shallow Lake Enhancement, Ph. V	7	7	7	7	8	7	7	6	6	5	67	
WRE 02	Pelican Lake Enhancement	8	8	9	8	8	7	7	8	7	7	77	
WRE 03	Albert Lea Lake Management and Invasive Species Control Structure	6	7	6	6	7	8	8	7	7	7	69	
WRE 04	Goose Lake Restoration and Carp Exclusion Project	5	5	5	6	6	8	8	7	7	7	64	
HA 01	DNR Aquatic Habitat, Ph. V	8	9	9	8	9	6	7	7	7	6	76	
HA 02	Habitat Protection in Dakota County, Ph. IV	8	9	9	8	9	8	8	8	8	7	82	
HA 03	Root River Protection and Restoration	6	7	7	6	7	6	7	8	7	6	67	
HA 04	Metro Big Rivers, Ph. IV	8	9	7	8	8	8	7	8	8	7	78	
HA 05	Minnesota Landscape Arboretum: Acquisition on Property Surrounding Lake Tamarack	8	9	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	7	80	
HA 06	Critical Shroeland Habitat Protection Program, Ph. II	6	6	6	6	6	5	7	7	7	8	64	
HRE 01	Wildlife Habitat Restoration and Enhancement in Metropolitan Regional Parks System	6	5	6	7	7	5	6	7	7	7	63	
HRE 02	Duluth 2012 Flood: Stream Habitat Restoration Program	5	8	5	4	4	8	7	5	5	4	55	
HRE 03	Lower Mississippi River Habitat Partnership, Ph. III	8	9	8	7	8	9	8	7	7	5	76	
HRE 04	Lake Zumbro Habitat Restoration Project	5	6	5	4	4	6	6	6	5	3	50	
HRE 05	Minnesota Trout Unlimited Coldwater Fish Habitat Enhancement and Restoration, Ph. IV	8	8	9	9	9	9	8	7	8	8	83	
HRE 06	Developing a National Destination for Trout Angling Cold Water Enthusiasts	4	2	2	2	3	3	5	6	6	2	35	
	TOTAL											2639	

		Recommend
		for Hearing (Y
		or N)
X 01	Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program, Ph. V	Υ
X 02	Contract Management	Y
X 03	Restoration Evaluations	Υ
X 04	High-Priority Tansaction Service Acceleration for LSOHC	Υ

Member Name Les Bensch

Evaluate each section of the Request for Funding on a scale of 1-10. **1=Strongly Disagree through 10=Strongly Agree** Maximum score per request is **100 points**.

For those not evaluating a proposal due to a **conflict of interest,** put "COI" in the score box.

	Overall proposal evaluation scores will be averaged using th	1	cope of Work	Planning			Other Funds Budget		get	Sustainability	Outcomes	1	
		200.8.1.0.0							,	and			
										Maintenance			
		The strategies	This is an	If funded, the	The request is	The request	The request	The budget	The level of	The request has a			
		are clear,	urgent problem	request will effectively	fully grounded in	effectively addresses	maximizes	supplements the	funding is reasonable for	well-designed plan for	outcomes		
		effective, and directly address	needing immediate	address	conservation science.	relevant LSOHC	leverage with other funding	organization's traditional	each	sustainability and	provide meaningful		
		the problem(s)	attention.	pertinent MN	Science.	section priorities	sources.	funding sources	component of	maintenance.	evaluative data.		
		outlined.		conservations				and does not	the budget.				
Project ID	Project Title			plans.				substitute.				Total	Member Comments
PA 01	Grasslands for the Future	10	6	10	10	10	-	10	6	6	9	77	
PA 02	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Acquisition, Ph. V	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	6	6	8	90	
PA 03	DNR Wildlife Management Area, Scientific and Natural	10	10	10	10	10	_	a	Q	2	2	71	
17.03	Area, and Native Prairie Bank Easement Program, Ph. V	10	10	10	10	10		,	o			71	
PA 04	Minnesota Prairie Recovery Project, Ph. IV	10	10	10		10	10	9	6	6	6	87	
PA 05	Minnesota Buffers for Wildlife and Water, Ph. III	10	8	8	10	8	10	10	5	5	8	82	
PA 06	Green Corridors, Ph. V	10	6	6	6	5	-	6	5	5	5	54	
PA 07	Cannon River Headwaters Habitat Complex, Ph. III	10	8	10		8	2	8	8	5	5	74	
PRE 01	DNR Grasslands, Ph. V	8	6	10	10	8	-	2	2	5	5	56	
FA 01	Minnesota Forest for the Future/State Forests, Ph. IV	10	5	8	10	8	4	2	8	5	5	65	
FA 02	Young Forest Conservation	10	6	8	9	8	10	4	7	5	5	72	
FA 03	The Camp Ripley Partnership: Protecting the Mighty Mississippi, Ph. III	10	6	8	8	8	-	5	6	6	4	61	
	Preventing Forest Fragmentation and Protecting and												
FA 04	Resoring Lake and Stream Habitat in the St. Louis River Watershed	10	6	8	8	8	8	6	5	5	5	69	
FA 05	Northeastern Minnesota Sharp-tailed Grouse Habitat Partnership, Ph. IV	9	8	8	8	8	7	7	9	5	4	73	
FA 06	Protect (Acquire) Key Forest Habitat Lands in Cass County, Ph. IV	9	8	8	8	7	6	7	8	4	4	69	
FRE 01	DNR Accelerated Forest Habitat Restoration and Enhancement Program, Ph. III	6	4	4	5	5	6	3	5	4	6	48	
FRE 02	Minnesota Moose Habitat Collaborative, Ph. II	6	6	6	5	5	8	8	8	5	5	62	
FRE 03	Defending the St. Croix Riverway from the Bittersweet	2	2	2	-	-	8	5	6	3	2	30	
	Onslaught	10	40	0	40	0	10		0	0		0.7	
WA 01	The RIM-WRP Partnership, Ph. V	10	10	9	10	8	10	6	8	8	8	87	
WA 02	Accelerating the Wildlife Production Area Acquisition, Ph. V	9	9	9	10	9	10	8	6	8	8	86	
WA 03	Shallow Lake and Wetland Protection Program, Ph. III	10	10	9	9	8	4	8	3	4	5	70	
WA 04	Wild Rice Shoreland Protection Program, Ph. II	10	10	8	9	8	6	9	10	8	6	84	
WA 05	McGregor Marsh Wetland Protection	10	10	8	8	8	-	9	9	5	5	72	

		The strategies are clear, effective, and directly address the problem(s) outlined.	This is an urgent problem needing immediate attention.	If funded, the request will effectively address pertinent MN conservations plans.	Planning The request is fully grounded in conservation science.	The request effectively addresses relevant LSOHC section priorities	The request maximizes leverage with other funding sources.	The budget supplements the organization's traditional funding sources and does not substitute.	The level of funding is reasonable for each component of the budget.	Sustainability and Maintenance The request has a well-designed plan for sustainability and maintenance.	Outcomes The stated outcomes provide meaningful evaluative data.		
	Project Title												Member Comments
WA 06	Wetland Habitat Protection Program	10	10	8	9	8	8	6	7	5	4	75	
WRE 01	Acceleratated Wetland and Shallow Lake Enhancement, Ph. V	10	10	8	8	8	2	5	5	5	5	66	1
WRE 02	Pelican Lake Enhancement	8	6	6	6	6	3	2	6	6	6	55	1
WRE 03	Albert Lea Lake Management and Invasive Species Control Structure	4	5	5	5	5	9	5	5	5	5	53	
WRE 04	Goose Lake Restoration and Carp Exclusion Project	6	4	4	4	5	9	5	5	5	5	52	
HA 01	DNR Aquatic Habitat, Ph. V	9	6	6	7	6	-	6	2	5	4	51	
HA 02	Habitat Protection in Dakota County, Ph. IV	9	8	7	7	5	10	6	3	5	5	65	
HA 03	Root River Protection and Restoration	8	7	8	6	6	7	5	3	4	5	59	
HA 04	Metro Big Rivers, Ph. IV	6	2	5	6	7	8	7	3	4	5	53	
HA 05	Minnesota Landscape Arboretum: Acquisition on Property Surrounding Lake Tamarack	6	3	4	4	3	10	4	2	4	4	44	
HA 06	Critical Shroeland Habitat Protection Program, Ph. II	8	5	5	5	4	8	4	2	5	5	51	
HRE 01	Wildlife Habitat Restoration and Enhancement in Metropolitan Regional Parks System	4	2	4	5	2	4	4	2	4	4	35	,
HRE 02	Duluth 2012 Flood: Stream Habitat Restoration Program	2	6	2	2	3	10	2	3	4	5	39	
HRE 03	Lower Mississippi River Habitat Partnership, Ph. III	2	3	2	2	2	10	4	4	5	4	38	i
HRE 04	Lake Zumbro Habitat Restoration Project	2	2	2	2	3	-	3	3	4	4	25	1
HRE 05	Minnesota Trout Unlimited Coldwater Fish Habitat Enhancement and Restoration, Ph. IV	9	9	8	8	8	-	6	9	9	9	75	
HRE 06	Developing a National Destination for Trout Angling Cold Water Enthusiasts	2	2	2	2	2	3	2	2	2	2	21	
	TOTAL										•	2396	,

		Recommend
		for Hearing (Y
		or N)
X 01	Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program, Ph. V	Υ
X 02	Contract Management	Υ
X 03	Restoration Evaluations	Υ
X 04	High-Priority Tansaction Service Acceleration for LSOHC	Υ

Member Name Ron Schara

Evaluate each section of the Request for Funding on a scale of 1-10. **1=Strongly Disagree through 10=Strongly Agree** Maximum score per request is **100 points.**

For those not evaluating a proposal due to a **conflict of interest**, put "COI" in the score box.

	Overall proposal evaluation scores will be averaged using	Persign and Scope of Work		1 -			Other Funds	Other Funds Budget		Sustainability	Outcomes		
		Design and seep	c or work				other runus	Dauget		and Maintenance	Cuttomes		
Project ID	Project Title	The strategies are clear, effective, and directly address the problem(s) outlined.	This is an urgent problem needing immediate attention.	If funded, the request will effectively address pertinent MN conservations plans.	The request is fully grounded in conservation science.	The request effectively addresses relevant LSOHC section priorities	The request maximizes leverage with other funding sources.	The budget supplements the organization's traditional funding sources and does not substitute.	The level of funding is reasonable for each component of the budget.	The request has a well-designed plan for sustainability and maintenance.	outcomes provide meaningful	Total	Member Comments
PA 01	Grasslands for the Future	l o	l o				<u> </u>	1 -		: c		70	
PA 01	Grassiands for the Future	°	°	0	0	٥	'	Ί ΄			3	/(J
PA 02	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Acquisition, Ph. V	8	8	8	8	8	6	5 7	7	' 8	8	76	5
PA 03	DNR Wildlife Management Area, Scientific and Natural Area, and Native Prairie Bank Easement Program, Ph. V	8	6	8	7	8	5	5 6	5	5	5	63	
PA 04	Minnesota Prairie Recovery Project, Ph. IV	8	8	8	8	8	9	9	5	8	8	79	High Personnel Costs
PA 05	Minnesota Buffers for Wildlife and Water, Ph. III	8	8	8	8	8	9	5	7	8	8	77	See reduced gen fund
PA 06	Green Corridors, Ph. V	8	8	8	8	9	8	8	8	8	8	81	L
PA 07	Cannon River Headwaters Habitat Complex, Ph. III	8	6	8	8	8	5	5 8	S	8	7	75	5
PRE 01	DNR Grasslands, Ph. V	8	8	8	8	8	8	5 7	7	7	8	75	peronnel high?
FA 01	Minnesota Forest for the Future/State Forests, Ph. IV	8	5	6	8	8	6	5 7	7	, 8	8	71	L
FA 02	Young Forest Conservation	6	5	6	6	7	8	8	6	5	6	63	3
FA 03	The Camp Ripley Partnership: Protecting the Mighty Mississippi, Ph. III	6	5	5 5	5	6	8	8	5	6	6	60	D
FA 04	Preventing Forest Fragmentation and Protecting and Resoring Lake and Stream Habitat in the St. Louis River Watershed	6	5	5	4	4	5	5 5	3	5	5	52	2
FA 05	Northeastern Minnesota Sharp-tailed Grouse Habitat Partnership, Ph. IV	8	7	8	7	8	8	8	7	5	7	73	3
FA 06	Protect (Acquire) Key Forest Habitat Lands in Cass County, Ph. IV	6	5	6	8	6	8	8	8	3 7	6	68	3
FRE 01	DNR Accelerated Forest Habitat Restoration and Enhancement Program, Ph. III	7	5	6	8	6	5	8	6	5 8	7	66	high water tests
FRE 02	Minnesota Moose Habitat Collaborative, Ph. II	5	5	7	5	6	5	8	7	' 6	7	61	L
FRE 03	Defending the St. Croix Riverway from the Bittersweet Onslaught	6	7	, 8	6	7	5	8	3	8	8	69	3
WA 01	The RIM-WRP Partnership, Ph. V	8	8	8	8	8	10	8		8	8	82	2
WA 02	Accelerating the Wildlife Production Area Acquisition, Ph. V	8	8	8	8	8	10	8	3	8	8	82	2
WA 03	Shallow Lake and Wetland Protection Program, Ph. III	8	8	8	8	8	9	8	3	8	8	81	L
WA 04	Wild Rice Shoreland Protection Program, Ph. II	8	7	8	8	8	7	8	3	8	8	78	3

		Design and Scop	e of Work	Planning			Other Funds			Sustainability and Maintenance			
Project ID	Project Title	The strategies are clear, effective, and directly address the problem(s) outlined.	This is an urgent problem needing immediate attention.	If funded, the request will effectively address pertinent MN conservations plans.	The request is fully grounded in conservation science.	The request effectively addresses relevant LSOHC section priorities	The request maximizes leverage with other funding sources.	The budget supplements the organization's traditional funding sources and does not substitute.	The level of funding is reasonable for each component of the budget.	The request has a well-designed plan for sustainability and maintenance.	The stated outcomes provide meaningful evaluative data.		Member Comments
WA 05	McGregor Marsh Wetland Protection	8		3 8	9		3	8 8	8	8	8	81	
WA 06	Wetland Habitat Protection Program	8	3	3 8	9	8	3	9 8	8	8	9	83	
	Acceleratated Wetland and Shallow Lake Enhancement, Ph. V	9	8	8	9	8	3	9 8	8	8	8	83	
WRE 02	Pelican Lake Enhancement	6	6	5 8	5	5	3	7 8	8	6	8	70	
	Albert Lea Lake Management and Invasive Species Control Structure	8	8	8	7	, 8	3	6 8	8	8 8	8	77	
WRE 04	Goose Lake Restoration and Carp Exclusion Project	8	8	8	8	8	3	7 8	8	3	8	79	
HA 01	DNR Aquatic Habitat, Ph. V	6		5 8	8	8	3	6 8	3 7	7	8	72	
HA 02	Habitat Protection in Dakota County, Ph. IV	7		5 8	7	, .	3	5 8	8	8	8	72	
HA 03	Root River Protection and Restoration	8	9	9 8	8	3	3	9 8	8	8	8	82	
HA 04	Metro Big Rivers, Ph. IV	8	7	7 8	8	9	10	0 8	8	8	8	82	
HA 05	Minnesota Landscape Arboretum: Acquisition on Property Surrounding Lake Tamarack	6	5	6	6	i 8	10	0 0	8	8	8	73	
HA 06	Critical Shroeland Habitat Protection Program, Ph. II	6	5	7	8	7	7	8 8	7	8	8	72	
HRE 01	Wildlife Habitat Restoration and Enhancement in Metropolitan Regional Parks System	5		6	5		5	8 8	8	8	8	67	
HRE 02	Duluth 2012 Flood: Stream Habitat Restoration Program	6	7	7	8	;	7	9 7	7 8	8	8	75	
HRE 03	Lower Mississippi River Habitat Partnership, Ph. III	8	ϵ	5 8		8	3 10	0 7	7 8	8	8	79	
HRE 04	Lake Zumbro Habitat Restoration Project	8	8	8	8	8	3	9 7	7 8	8	8	80	
HRE 05	Minnesota Trout Unlimited Coldwater Fish Habitat											74	
	Enhancement and Restoration, Ph. IV	8	:	5 7	8	8 8	3	5 8	8	8	8		
HRE 06	Developing a National Destination for Trout Angling Cold Water Enthusiasts	5		5 5	7	,	5	6 8	3 8		8 8	65	
	TOTAL				,		1	-				2868	

		Recommend for
		Hearing (Y or N)
X 01	Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program, Ph. V	У
X 02	Contract Management	n
X 03	Restoration Evaluations	У
X 04	High-Priority Tansaction Service Acceleration for LSOHC	У

Evaluate each section of the Request for Funding on a scale of 1-10. **1=Strongly Disagree through 10=Strongly Agree** Maximum score per request is **100 points.**

For those not evaluating a proposal due to a **conflict of interest**, put "COI" in the score box.

	Overall proposal evaluation scores will be averaged usin	Design and Scop		1 -			Other Funds	r Funds Budget		Sustainability	Outcomes	I	
		Design and scop	c or work	. iu.iiiig			ouner runus	Dauget		and Maintenance	Jucomes		
		The strategies are	This is an urgent	If funded, the	The request is	The request	The request	The budget	The level of	The request has a	The stated		
		clear, effective,	problem needing	request will	fully grounded in	effectively	maximizes	supplements the	funding is	well-designed	outcomes provide		
		and directly	immediate	effectively	conservation	addresses	leverage with	organization's	reasonable for	plan for	meaningful		
		address the	attention.	address pertinent		relevant LSOHC	other funding	traditional	each component	sustainability and	evaluative data.		
		problem(s)		MN conservations	5	section priorities	sources.	funding sources	of the budget.	maintenance.			
Project ID	Project Title	outlined.		plans.				and does not substitute				Total	Member Comments
PA 01	Grasslands for the Future	10	10) 10) 10	10) 1	SUNSTITUTE	5 4	1 10	10		
	Grassianas isi tire ratare												
PA 02	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area	2	5	10	10	5	5 2		5	2	. 1	43	S Company of the Comp
	Acquisition, Ph. V												
PA 03	DNR Wildlife Management Area, Scientific and Natural	6		5 10	10		1		-	,	1	51	
PA 05			_	,		`	´	`	΄]	1	1	31	•
	Area, and Native Prairie Bank Easement Program, Ph. V												
PA 04	Minnesota Prairie Recovery Project, Ph. IV	8	5	10	10	5	5 4		5	10	10	76	5
PA 05	Minnesota Buffers for Wildlife and Water, Ph. III	8	5	10	10	5	5 7	,	5	3 1	. 5	59	
PA 06	Green Corridors, Ph. V	3	5	10	10	10) 1		5	3 1	. 5	53	3
PA 07	Cannon River Headwaters Habitat Complex, Ph. III	2	10	10	10	10	2	2 10	0	1 5	5	68	
	Summer result action results completely in in												
DDE 04	DND C. J. J. DL V		10	10	10		-		-		10		
PRE 01	DNR Grasslands, Ph. V	°	10	10	10	1		:		'	. 10	69	'
FA 01	Minnesota Forest for the Future/State Forests, Ph. IV	, R	10	10	10	10	2	10		10	5	84	
17.01	Willing Sold Forest for the Future/ State Forests, Fil. 19		10	,	,		<u> </u>		,	1			
FA 02	Young Forest Conservation	8	5	10	10		5 5	10		10	10	82	
FA 03	The Camp Ripley Partnership: Protecting the Mighty	8	5	10			1	. 10		10			
	Mississippi, Ph. III	_]			
FA 04	Preventing Forest Fragmentation and Protecting and	10	10	10	10	10) 1	. 10	5	10	10	86	5
	Resoring Lake and Stream Habitat in the St. Louis River												
	Watershed												
FA 05	Northeastern Minnesota Sharp-tailed Grouse Habitat	1	5	10	10	10	2	10) 8	3 1	. 5	62	
	Partnership, Ph. IV												
FA 06	Protect (Acquire) Key Forest Habitat Lands in Cass	10	10	10	10	10) 2	. 10) 8	10	5	85	
	County, Ph. IV												
FRE 01	DNR Accelerated Forest Habitat Restoration and	10	10	10	10	10	1	. 10	9	1	. 5	76	i i
	Enhancement Program, Ph. III												
FRE 02	Minnesota Moose Habitat Collaborative, Ph. II	10	7	10	10	10) 4	10) 9	9 5	10	85	i
FRE 03	Defending the St. Croix Riverway from the Bittersweet	5	7	9 5	10	10	4	10	9	1	. 1	62	!
	Onslaught												
WA 01	The RIM-WRP Partnership, Ph. V	10	10	10	10	10	10	10)	10	10	98	3
													·

		Design and Scop	ign and Scope of Work Planning						Sustainability and	Outcomes			
Project ID	Project Title	The strategies are clear, effective, and directly address the problem(s) outlined.	•	If funded, the request will effectively address pertinent MN conservations plans.	conservation	The request effectively addresses relevant LSOHC section priorities	The request maximizes leverage with other funding sources.	The budget supplements the organization's traditional funding sources and does not substitute	The level of funding is reasonable for each component of the budget.	Maintenance The request has a well-designed plan for sustainability and maintenance.	outcomes provide meaningful		Member Comments
WA 02	Accelerating the Wildlife Production Area Acquisition, Ph. V	10	10	10	10	10	3	3 10	3	10	1	82	
WA 03	Shallow Lake and Wetland Protection Program, Ph. III	2	3.00	10	10	10	2	2 10	1	. 10	10	68	
WA 04	Wild Rice Shoreland Protection Program, Ph. II	7	3.00	10	10	5	2	2 10	7	1	. 10	65	
WA 05	McGregor Marsh Wetland Protection	4	10.00	10	10	10	1	10	8	10	10	83	
WA 06	Wetland Habitat Protection Program	10	3	10	10	10	5	5 10	7	10	10	85	
WRE 01	Acceleratated Wetland and Shallow Lake Enhancement, Ph. V	10	7	10	10	10	1	10	9	1	. 1	69	
WRE 02	Pelican Lake Enhancement	10	7	10	10	10	1		9	1	. 10	73	
WRE 03	Albert Lea Lake Management and Invasive Species Control Structure	5	10	5	5	5	6	5 10	9	10	5	70	
WRE 04	Goose Lake Restoration and Carp Exclusion Project	5	10	5	5	5		5 10	9	5	5 5	64	
HA 01	DNR Aquatic Habitat, Ph. V	8	3	10	10	10	1		8	1	. 1	57	
HA 02	Habitat Protection in Dakota County, Ph. IV	3	10	10	10	5	ϵ	5 10) 1	10	5	70	
HA 03	Root River Protection and Restoration	7	10	10	10	10	3	10	7	10	10	87	
HA 04	Metro Big Rivers, Ph. IV	4	7	10	10	5	4	10	4	. 5	1	60	
HA 05	Minnesota Landscape Arboretum: Acquisition on Property Surrounding Lake Tamarack	10	10	10	10	5	10	10	1	. 10	10	86	
HA 06	Critical Shroeland Habitat Protection Program, Ph. II	10	3	10	10	1	3	10	7	10	5	74	
HRE 01	Wildlife Habitat Restoration and Enhancement in Metropolitan Regional Parks System	10	10	10	10	10	3	10	6	5	5	79	
HRE 02	Duluth 2012 Flood: Stream Habitat Restoration Program	10	10	10	10	10	6	5 10) 1	. 5	10	82	
HRE 03	Lower Mississippi River Habitat Partnership, Ph. III	10	7	10	10	10	10	10	7	5	5 1	80	
HRE 04	Lake Zumbro Habitat Restoration Project	10	7	10	10	10	10	10	1	. 10	10	88	
HRE 05	Minnesota Trout Unlimited Coldwater Fish Habitat	40		40	40	40		4.0	4	_	10	76	
LIDE OC	Enhancement and Restoration, Ph. IV	10	9	10	10	10	1	10	' ¹	. 5	10	1	
HRE 06	Developing a National Destination for Trout Angling Cold Water Enthusiasts	1	1	10	10	1	3	3 10	1	. 10	1	48	
	TOTAL											2838	

		Recommend for
		Hearing (Y or N)
X 01	Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program, Ph. V	Υ
X 02	Contract Management	Υ
X 03	Restoration Evaluations	Υ
X 04	High-Priority Tansaction Service Acceleration for LSOHC	Υ

Evaluate each section of the Request for Funding on a scale of 1-10. **1=Strongly Disagree through 10=Strongly Agree** Maximum score per request is **100 points.**

For those not evaluating a proposal due to a **conflict of interest,** put "COI" in the score box.

	Overall proposal evaluation scores will be averaged using	Design and Scop		Planning	ii proposui.		Other Funds	Budget		Sustainability	Outcomes		
	Project Title	The strategies are clear, effective, and directly address the problem(s) outlined.	problem needing immediate attention.	If funded, the request will effectively address pertinen MN conservation plans.	s	The request effectively addresses relevant LSOHC section priorities	The request maximizes leverage with other funding sources.	The budget supplements the organization's traditional funding sources and does not substitute	The level of funding is reasonable for each component of the budget.	and Maintenance The request has a well-designed plan for sustainability and maintenance.	The stated outcomes provide meaningful evaluative data.		Member Comments
PA 01	Grasslands for the Future	10		10	9	10	,	10	'l	6	9	77	
PA 02	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Acquisition, Ph. V	9	S	!	9 10	9	9 9	10	0 6	5 5	8	84	
PA 03	DNR Wildlife Management Area, Scientific and Natural Area, and Native Prairie Bank Easement Program, Ph. V	10	S) 10	0 10) 10		9	8	3 2	2	70	
PA 04	Minnesota Prairie Recovery Project, Ph. IV	10	10	!	9 9	10	10	8	3 7	6	6	85	
PA 05	Minnesota Buffers for Wildlife and Water, Ph. III	10	g	9	9 9	3	3 10	10	6	5 5	8	84	
PA 06	Green Corridors, Ph. V	10	5	5	6	5	6	(5	5	5	53	
PA 07	Cannon River Headwaters Habitat Complex, Ph. III	10	g	!	9 10	8	3	8	3 9	5	5	76	
PRE 01	DNR Grasslands, Ph. V	8	6	5 10	0 9	9	0	2	2 2	2 5	5	56	
FA 01	Minnesota Forest for the Future/State Forests, Ph. IV	10	6	5	9	8	3 4	. 2	2 8	5	5	66	
FA 02	Young Forest Conservation	10		,	7 9	8	10	4	1 7	5	5	72	
FA 03	The Camp Ripley Partnership: Protecting the Mighty Mississippi, Ph. III	10	7	9	9	9	C	5	6	7	5	67	
FA 04	Preventing Forest Fragmentation and Protecting and Resoring Lake and Stream Habitat in the St. Louis River Watershed	10	€	5	8 8	8 8	8	6	5	5	5	69	
FA 05	Northeastern Minnesota Sharp-tailed Grouse Habitat Partnership, Ph. IV	9	g	9	9 8	8	3 7		9	5	5	77	
FA 06	Protect (Acquire) Key Forest Habitat Lands in Cass County, Ph. IV	8	8	3	7	7	6	6	5 7	5	4	65	
FRE 01	DNR Accelerated Forest Habitat Restoration and Enhancement Program, Ph. III	5	2	,	4 5	5 5	6	7	7 5	4	6	51	
FRE 02	Minnesota Moose Habitat Collaborative, Ph. II	8	8	3	7 6	6	5	8	8	6	6	72	
FRE 03	Defending the St. Croix Riverway from the Bittersweet Onslaught	2	3	3	2 0) 1		5	5 6	5 2	2	31	
WA 01	The RIM-WRP Partnership, Ph. V	10	10)	9 9	10		6	5 8	8	9	87	
WA 02	Accelerating the Wildlife Production Area Acquisition, Ph. V	9	S	9	9 10	9	9 10	8	8 6	8	7	85	Todd Co Commissioners are in a land purchase discussion with PF/DU. Would like discussion.

		The strategies are clear, effective, and directly		Planning If funded, the request will effectively	The request is fully grounded in conservation	The request effectively addresses	Other Funds The request maximizes leverage with	Budget The budget supplements the organization's	The level of funding is reasonable for	Sustainability and Maintenance The request has a well-designed plan for	Outcomes The stated outcomes provide meaningful		
		address the	attention.	address pertinent		relevant LSOHC	other funding	traditional	each component	sustainability and	· ·		
		problem(s) outlined.		MN conservations plans.		section priorities	sources.	funding sources and does not	of the budget.	maintenance.			
Project ID	Project Title							substitute					Member Comments
WA 03	Shallow Lake and Wetland Protection Program, Ph. III	10	g	9	S	3	5	5	7	3	4 5	69	
WA 04	Wild Rice Shoreland Protection Program, Ph. II	10	10	8	g	8	3	7	10	0	8 6	85	5
WA 05	McGregor Marsh Wetland Protection	10	9	9	8	8	3		9 9	9	5 6	73	
WA 06	Wetland Habitat Protection Program	10	10	8	9	8	8	3	5 6	5	6 5	75	5
WRE 01	Acceleratated Wetland and Shallow Lake Enhancement, Ph. V	10	10	8	8	9	2	2	5	5	6	71	
WRE 02	Pelican Lake Enhancement	8	5	5	5	5 6	5	1	2 6	5	5 6	52	
WRE 03	Albert Lea Lake Management and Invasive Species Control Structure	4	5	6	€	5 7	9	Э	5	6	5 5	59	
WRE 04	Goose Lake Restoration and Carp Exclusion Project	6	2	4	2	1 5	5	Ð	5	4	6 5	52	
HA 01	DNR Aquatic Habitat, Ph. V	9	5	5	7	7	5 (o l	5 3	3	6 5	52	
HA 02	Habitat Protection in Dakota County, Ph. IV	9	g	7	7	7	10	o l	5 4	4	5 5	68	3
HA 03	Root River Protection and Restoration	8	7	8	ϵ	6	6	5	7 6	5	4 4	62	
HA 04	Metro Big Rivers, Ph. IV	6	4	6	5	5 7	9	9	7 3	3	4 6	57	
HA 05	Minnesota Landscape Arboretum: Acquisition on Property Surrounding Lake Tamarack	7	5	7	7	7	10		5	5	5 5	65	With followup, I learned there will be special white tail hunting on property.
HA 06	Critical Shroeland Habitat Protection Program, Ph. II	8	5	5	5	5	1 8	3	1 3	3	5 5	52	
HRE 01	Wildlife Habitat Restoration and Enhancement in Metropolitan Regional Parks System	4	2	. 3	4	1 2	2	1	1 2	2	5 5	35	
HRE 02	Duluth 2012 Flood: Stream Habitat Restoration Program	3	ϵ	3	3	3	10	D	2	3	4 5	42	
HRE 03	Lower Mississippi River Habitat Partnership, Ph. III	2	3	2	2	2 3	10	D	3	4	4 5	38	3
HRE 04	Lake Zumbro Habitat Restoration Project	2	2	. 2	3	3	3		3	3	4	26	
HRE 05	Minnesota Trout Unlimited Coldwater Fish Habitat											78	
	Enhancement and Restoration, Ph. IV	10	10	9	8	3	3	o l	7 8	8	9 9		
HRE 06	Developing a National Destination for Trout Angling											23	8
	Cold Water Enthusiasts	2	2	. 1	2	2 2	2 3	3	2 3	3	3		
	TOTAL											2461	<u> </u>

		Recommend for
		Hearing (Y or N)
X 01	Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program, Ph. V	у
X 02	Contract Management	у
X 03	Restoration Evaluations	у
X 04	High-Priority Tansaction Service Acceleration for LSOHC	у